

AN EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WAS HELD ON THE 3RD DAY OF AUGUST IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED NINETY-EIGHT IN THE BOARDROOM OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING AT 5:38 P.M.

IN RE: ROLL CALL

James H. Burrell	Present
Mark A. Hennaman	Present
Frederick G. Bahr	Present
Rebecca M. Ringley	Present
Julian T. Lipscomb	Present

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Ms. Ringley made a motion to go into executive session to discuss a personnel matter pursuant to '2.1-344(a)(1) of the Code of Virginia.

James H. Burrell	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Rebecca M. Ringley	Aye
Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye

The Board returned from executive session at 5:59 p.m. Mr. Burrell gave the certification. Whereas, the New Kent County Board of Supervisors has convened an executive meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and Whereas, Section 2.1-344.1 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Board that such executive meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law; Now, therefore, be it resolved that the Board hereby certifies that to the best of each member's knowledge (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirement by Virginia law were discussed in executive meeting to which this certification resolution applies and (ii) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the executive meeting were heard, discussed or considered by the Board.

James H. Burrell	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Rebecca M. Ringley	Aye
Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye

THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WAS HELD ON THE 3RD DAY OF AUGUST IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED NINETY-EIGHT IN THE BOARDROOM OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING AT 6:00 P.M.

IN RE: ROLL CALL

James H. Burrell	Present
Mark A. Hennaman	Present
Frederick G. Bahr	Present
Rebecca M. Ringley	Present
Julian T. Lipscomb	Present

A short recess was taken, the meeting reconvened at 6:07 p.m.

IN RE: INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mr. Burrell gave the invocation and lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

IN RE: CONSENT AGENDA

Mr. Emerson reviewed the Consent Agenda: Approval of minutes from the July 13, 1998 regular Board Meeting and July 13, 1998 Quarterly Meeting with the Constitutional Officers; Resolution R-20-98 concerning the I-64 Major Investment Study Preferred Alternative, Resolution R-21-98 for the three year lease/purchase of the new AS400, Outdoor Gathering Permit OGP-3-98 for the Ruritan/New Kent County Fair on September 11, and Outdoor Gathering Permit OGP-4-98 for a Corvette Show at Cumberland Community Center on August 8; Refund to W. Walker Ware IV in the amount of \$112.26 for building permit application refund; Appropriations - Carry forward funds for lighting in the Clerk of Circuit Court's Office - \$1,270.00, Carry forward grant funds for the Clerk of Circuit Court's file indexing project - \$2,092.89, Carry forward funds for insurance recovery to replace equipment damaged by lightning strike in the Sheriff's Office - \$2,714.97, Carry forward funds for the V-STOP Grant - \$9,869.34, Carry forward funds for the Incident Based Reporting Grant in the Sheriff's Office - \$3,815.50, Additional funds allocated by the State Department of Social Services for the Child Day Care At Risk Program - \$9,619.00, Additional State allocation for AFDC Foster Care - \$6,140.00, Carry forward Child Day Care Fee System Block Grant funds - \$8,233.00, Adjustment to the FY 1999 Victim/Witness budget to amounts allocated by the grant award - \$8,465.00, One time special equipment grant from the Department of Juvenile Justice for the Office on Youth - \$4,070.50 for a total supplemental appropriation of \$56,500.20; Finance Report for total expenditures for July 1998 - \$711,982.09; Treasurer's Report was unavailable due to the meeting being held earlier than usual. Mr. Burrell said he was a Trustee for the Cumberland Community Center and one of the gathering permits would be at the center, he asked Mr. Cornwell if this would be a conflict. Mr. Cornwell said no, since Mr. Burrell was not personally involved, he's a Trustee for the Center. Mr. Burrell also asked for Resolution R-20-98 to be voted on separately. Mr. Bahr corrected the minutes on page 3 of the July 13, 1998 Regular Meeting, third paragraph, eighth line, the word "statues" should be "statutes". Ms. Ringley also had a correction, page four, same minutes, under Citizen's Comment Period, she thought Mr. Shirey said nine year old "daughter", not "sister". (The recording was reviewed and the word used was sister.) Mr. Burrell made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda as presented with the exception of Resolution R-20-98 and the corrections as noted.

James H. Burrell	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Rebecca M. Ringley	Aye

FOR RESOLUTION R-21-98 AS ADOPTED, SEE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ORDER BOOK, APPENDIX SIX PAGE 140.

Mr. Burrell did not agree with Resolution R-20-98, he did not feel that option D would be the best option for New Kent. Option D adds a lane to I64 and he felt they should not add any lanes to the interstate, but invest in mass transit. He felt that adding a lane to I64 would encourage people to use their car instead of using mass transit (train). Mr. Burrell wants to slow down growth in New Kent. He would prefer the no build option with the high speed rail of 150 m.p.h. Mr. Bahr asked that as these funds become available serious consideration be given to adding an interchange at Airport Road when they are building the third lane. Mr. Burrell stated the alternative to no build is to have collision avoidance, new technology to move more traffic on existing lanes and other ways to control traffic to allow more vehicles on the existing lanes. Mr. Hennaman said he understood Mr. Burrell's logic that improved roads foster more traffic, but I64 links Richmond to the peninsula and the safety issue needs to be looked at. There's already a lot of traffic on I64. You can try to control the growth in New Kent County, but it's between two of Money magazines hottest picks on the east coast as far as best places to live. He said he would like to learn of the technology being used elsewhere to control existing traffic or increase traffic on existing highways. He supported rail improvements for people and commerce. Mr. Burrell said James City County was in opposition to Plan D. Mr. Emerson confirmed this, but stated that the Richmond Region MPO has chosen Plan D and it has been recommended to the Board. They (MPO) thought the logic James City County was using was not necessarily on point as far as keeping people from moving into the community. The logic is trying to move people between Hampton Roads and Richmond. The other issue with the third lane is cloverleaf improvements to Bottoms Bridge need to occur and will probably not occur without the third lane along with the improvements to the Ringley Bridge. Also, the approved PUD at the Rt. 155 corridor will not be able to grow to the size as shown in the financial forecast without the third lanes on the interstate. Mr. Burrell asked if this was something they had to act on tonight. Mr. Emerson said the MPO did not request this, staff brought it to the Board for their endorsement or discussion. Mr. Burrell made a motion to delay action on this item tonight and take it up at another time. Ms. Ringley said the PDC and MPO do not meet in August and if they delay action until their September meeting it will be in time to take it to their (PDC and MPO) next meeting. Ms. Ringley's concern with a lot of the plans was the use of high occupancy vehicle lanes opposed to the addition of a single lane. She did not feel the HOV lanes were as effective as they were intended to be. She was also concerned the overflow would go to Route 60. Alternative D includes the same no build improvements - smart road systems, etc. Ms. Ringley also felt that not putting in the third lane is not going to have as drastic an effect on people's transportation preferences as Mr. Burrell thought. She was in favor of adding another lane. Mr. Lipscomb stated the cars coming off the interstate are not Virginia cars. People from other states are not going to drive to Richmond to take a high speed train to Norfolk. He believed the third lane was needed, if New Kent did not get the third lane the traffic would bottleneck in the County. Mr. Hennaman said he saw three users of a transportation system across the peninsula - tourists, commerce (trucking), and commuters. He hoped high speed rail worked, but it would not work for the tourists and the truckers. He did not think that adding a lane to I64 would encourage any more traffic. Mr. Burrell felt that if you build a highway then people will not feel they are forced to use rail and they won't use it. Mr. Lipscomb called for a vote on Mr. Burrell's motion to table Resolution R-20-98 until next month.

James H. Burrell	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Rebecca M. Ringley	Aye
Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye

IN RE: CITIZEN'S COMMENT PERIOD

The only person to sign up for Citizen's Comment Period was Mr. Lester Silva, 5948 Wensleydale Drive, New Kent. Mr. Silva found it short sighted of Mr. Burrell - perhaps they should go back to buggy carts to solve most of the problems he foresees. It is not a good thing to limit progress and technology. Mr. Silva thought they should add two lanes to I64. Mr. Silva spoke on freedom of speech in New Kent County. He thought that some of the Board did not believe in the true sense of freedom and liberty. The Board has been elected by their constituents to carry out an important duty - to safeguard their rights and privileges, and to limit their (constituents) speeches or interventions to three minutes, he is vehemently opposed. Mr. Silva did not feel three minutes was enough time to get warmed up to say something coherent and intelligent. He mentioned the Board could take their time, but he did not feel people should be prevented from addressing their wants and needs. (The three minute timer went off) and Mr. Silva ended in protest saying he may have a petition drawn up with signatures; and, if that fails, he will take it to the courts.

IN RE: ELECTED OFFICIALS REPORTS

Ms. Ringley said she had received correspondence from the Richmond Metropolitan Planning Organization concerning the CTAC meeting attendance. The appointee from New Kent has not attended any meetings this year. The Board discussed this issue and it was their consensus to have Mr. Emerson contact Mr. Shelton Johnson to see if he's interested in continuing on this committee. Ms. Ringley said she was on the Education Steering Committee for VACo, and Clarke County has approved a resolution encouraging the state legislators to use state lottery funds for school infrastructure on a continuing basis. They have asked New Kent County to also adopt this resolution. Mr. Emerson read the resolution and it was assigned Resolution R-23-98. The Board discussed the original intent of distribution of lottery funds to education. Mr. Burrell made a motion to adopt Resolution R-23-98 as presented by Mr. Emerson.

James H. Burrell	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Rebecca M. Ringley	Aye
Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye

FOR RESOLUTION R-23-98 AS ADOPTED, SEE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ORDER BOOK, APPENDIX SIX, PAGE 142.

Ms. Ringley thanked Mr. Emerson for his meeting with the FAA, she understands the funds to replace the fuel farm are still being withheld. She felt it would be in the best interest of the County if the County Administrator took steps to ask Senator Bolling, Mr. Wiegand, and Mr. Dankos to come speak to the Board and explain why they are withholding these funds. Ms. Ringley said she understood they would like to see the continuation of New Kent Airport, but by withholding these funds they are actually tying the Board's hands. The Board is pursuing three options regarding the airport and two of the options would result in the continued operation of New Kent Airport, but without the ability to provide fuel they will need the grant funds. Mr. Lipscomb said he did not think they would do the legislation and thought they should write and tell Senator Robb to forget about it at this time. He handed out a letter he had just received saying the Chairman of the Capital Region Airport Commission has appointed the CRAC New Kent Airport Committee, which is made up of four members - Mr. Jack Ward, the Chairman of CRAC (Hanover County), and representatives from Henrico - Mr. Thomas Pruitt, Richmond - Mr. Algenon

Brown, and Chesterfield - Mr. Melvin Shaffer, to see if the CRAC wants to take over the operation of the New Kent Airport. Mr. Emerson said the FAA was on record as opposing closing the airport and the Department of Aviation is also in opposition. They have said if you want to close it we won't stand in your way, but we want to be refunded our monies we have invested in the airport. The FAA has requested before any action is taken that the alternative of turning the airport over to the CRAC be fully explored. Senator Robb's staff has indicated they would like to see this happen before Senator Robb takes a position on whether or not he will sponsor the legislation. Mr. Hennaman agreed with Ms. Ringley, that there were three options on the table and he felt the Board should explore to the full extent each of the three options. He did not think the federal money would be forgiven. He did not think the Board should send a letter to Senator Robb asking him to not consider this. Mr. Burrell said he agreed with Mr. Hennaman and his position is the same as it has always been - they should not ask the citizens to subsidize a hobby airport that will never be anything more because of the constraints - length of the runway, etc. He would support the airport if it could break even, and he has no desire to close the airport, but it's unfair to the citizens paying taxes for a handful of residents to use the airport. This year it was budgeted for \$180,000 and they would be lucky if they got \$80,000 back. He felt the money being spent on the New Kent Airport could be directed to an airport they intend to enlarge and make into a viable airport (Peninsula Regional Airport). This will also save the federal government money. He did not think they should ask Senator Robb to withdraw his letter. Mr. Bahr agreed with Mr. Lipscomb. Ms. Ringley felt strongly they should pursue all three options. Ms. Ringley continued, regarding the Tidewater Review article on emergency services addressing the Rescue Squad's sound management of its financial resources and its good record keeping, these are accolades they deserve and they should have been part of the study. She was also happy to see the letter to the Department of Forestry from Senator Bolling addressing the Crawford State Forest project.

Mr. Burrell said he would reserve his comments until they discussed the referendum for the school projects.

Mr. Hennaman said he serves as the Board's appointment to the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and while he has enjoyed this appointment, he is unable to attend the MPO meetings that follow the RRPDC meetings - it's an all day meeting. Mrs. Ringley was appointed the Board's representative to the MPO for this reason. However, the RRPDC and the MPO have expressed a desire to have more continuity and have suggested there be one representative to attend both meetings, which he is unable to do. Mr. Hennaman resigned his appointment to the RRPDC and asked staff to prepare the appropriate letters to send RRPDC and recommended Ms. Ringley be his replacement. Mr. Bahr said he was the second member from the County to the MPO, and he seconded Mrs. Ringley's appointment to the RRPDC.

Mr. Bahr said he attended the National Association of Counties (NACO) meeting in Portland, Oregon and attended seminars on county problems, portable housing in rural America, county airport roundtable, welfare to work, tower siting, and meeting environmental challenges through community based approach. He learned that other counties had similar problems to solve. Regarding the Rescue Squad and Volunteer Fire Department, it was brought to his attention that Colonel Charles W. Peters retired and is moving out of the County. Mr. Peters has been a member of the Rescue Squad for twelve years, performing all aspects of work there. Mr. Bahr recommended the Board send a letter of appreciation and in the future (for those people who have volunteered and worked for the fire and rescue squads) and there be some form of recognition. Mr. Bahr congratulated Mr. Burrell on his hearing last week on urban sprawl - he thought it was very interesting.

Mr. Lipscomb did not give a report.

Ms. Betty Burrell, Treasurer, gave the following report: Section 58.1-3921 of the Code of Virginia directs the county treasurers to give an official report of the uncollected taxes (as of June 30) to the Board of Supervisors. She gave this report to the Board. They have been very successful working with Sands, Anderson, Marks and Miller and anticipate another judicial sale to be held in September or October on parcels in Woodhaven Shores. They collected roughly \$600,000 more in local real estate/personal property tax for FY98 than in FY97.

IN RE: STAFF REPORTS

Mr. Emerson did not have any staff reports, but as far as the recognition of retiring volunteers in general, staff is working on this issue. They would like to do a recognition program during Volunteer Week in April. They are also working on redefining Mr. Gallaher's role in the fire/rescue organizations. Mr. Hennaman asked Mr. Gallaher, when Company 1 had equipment stolen, were they were still operating at a disadvantage in terms of equipment; and, if so, have emergency measures been taken? Mr. Gallaher said yes, they probably were operating at somewhat of a disadvantage where some equipment has not yet been replaced, but it (equipment) will be purchased in the next couple weeks. The main reason they were out of service for several hours was to thoroughly check all the apparatus and equipment to ensure safety. They were waiting for the insurance check to purchase the remaining replacement equipment.

IN RE: RESIDENT ENGINEER'S REPORT

Mr. Chris Winstead gave a summary of work performed by VDOT during the month of July. Ms. Ringley asked if the meeting on the I64 corridor at the Holiday Inn was well attended? Mr. Winstead said there were about 100 people there. Mr. Burrell discussed the work on Paige Road, he was concerned about the road going from a paved to a dirt surface and the driving hazard this could cause. Mr. Winstead said they could put signs up. Mr. Hennaman was still concerned about the Providence Forge Road sign (listing to one side) and at the intersection of Rt.60 and Rt. 155 on the northeast corner a sign for Pocahontas Trail is hanging crooked also. Mr. Bahr asked if the site for the Mt. Nebo homecoming had been mowed and the brush removed. Mr. Bahr also asked if the people from Mt. Nebo had given VDOT permission to do ditching. The proposed closing of Barhamsville Road was discussed. Mr. Lipscomb said Mr. Willie Grubbs has requested VDOT to contact him regarding a crane he has that can get rid of the beaver dam in Black Creek. Also, the 35 m.p.h. speed limit sign on Rt. 640 is missing between Providence Church and Rt. 665. Mr. Lipscomb also requested the shoulder work on Rt. 606 be continued through to Rt. 609.

IN RE: ORDINANCE O-4-98 TO AMEND SECTIONS 9-37, 9-177, 9-191, 9-206, 9-221, AND 9-235.1 OF THE NEW KENT COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO SETBACKS FROM INTERIOR LOT LINES IN THE BUSINESS AND INDUSTRIAL ZONING DISTRICTS.

Mr. Maloney gave his report. This proposed ordinance pertains to the waiver of setbacks from interior lot lines in the business and industrial zoning districts. The provisions would permit the developer of commercial or industrial parcels to eliminate interior side and rear lot lines on adjacent lots provided a single plan of development prepared in accordance with County regulations is submitted for all lots included in the proposed development. Additionally, the overall complex, if considered as a single parcel, would have to meet the yard, signage and parking requirements for the underlying zoning district

and use. Lastly, applicable building and fire safety codes must also be met. The ordinance also provides a definition of "interior lot line". As New Kent County looks to expand its commercial and industrial tax base, such a provision would permit significant flexibility in the site planning process, thus potentially enhancing the aesthetic qualities of commercial and industrial development as well as possibly increasing the desirability of locating within the County. The Planning Commission, during its June 15, 1998 meeting, recommended the Board of Supervisors adopt Ordinance O-4-98. Mr. Bahr confirmed this ordinance would not effect existing buildings, but if the landowner chose to make modifications to their multi-parcel development (not a single-parcel development) then it would provide additional flexibility in terms of possibly eliminating side and rear yard setbacks for those lots included in the development. The public hearing was opened. Mr. George Philbates, P. O. Box 28, New Kent was the only person signed up to speak. Mr. Philbates said he was the person who questioned Mr. Bahr, he has some industrial land consisting of five separate parcels. He was concerned they would try to make changes in the future. Mr. Philbates asked if the Board could add the following line to the ordinance: This will not affect nonconforming use of a business that has been operating for at least five years if they have to get it rezoned and get a permit, as long as you don't build buildings - just the stuff that's already there. It would not affect anything already there unless you're trying to enlarge it. Mr. Lipscomb closed the public hearing. Mr. Bahr said he did not understand how the ordinance could be fairer and clarified this ordinance did nothing but add to flexibility on construction on industrial sites. Mr. Maloney confirmed this and said it did not create any additional requirements, and if certain requirements are met it may reduce the amount of restrictions on the property providing more flexibility for development. If you were not in conformance with regard to setbacks, this may eliminate the problem. Mr. Bahr made a motion to adopt Ordinance O-4-98 as presented.

James H. Burrell	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Rebecca M. Ringley	Aye
Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye

FOR ORDINANCE O-4-98 AS ADOPTED, SEE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ORDER BOOK, APPENDIX SIX, PAGE 144.

IN RE: RESOLUTION R-18-98 OR R-19-98 - REQUESTING THE ORDERING OF A SPECIAL ELECTION FOR A REFERENDUM ON THE QUESTION OF CONTRACTING DEBT AND ISSUING BONDS FOR SCHOOL PURPOSES - The Board will receive a presentation on financial forecasts from Steve Jacobs with Robinson, Farmer and Cox Associates and adopt one of the resolutions requesting the Circuit Court Judge to order a referendum.

Mr. Emerson said additional information had been provided regarding the referendum question discussed at the July 15 meeting. At the July 15 meeting it was decided to send a figure of \$17,330,000 for school improvements. On July 20 the School Board adopted a resolution requesting the Board of Supervisors to order a special election for referendum on the question of contracted debt issuing bonds for school purposes. The School Board also requested the Board to place the bond as one question instead of four. Resolution R-18-98 has four questions and Resolution R-19-98 has one question. Mr. Steve Jacobs with Robinson, Farmer, Cox and Associates presented the financial forecast on FY 2000 - 2004. Mr. Jacobs reviewed the report for the Board. Of the proposed Capital Projects, 76 percent is for school projects. Funding for Capital Projects is mostly by debt (\$19.2 million dollars of the Capital Projects), \$1.8 million dollars will be funded through current revenues, and \$1.7 million dollars through grants. The County's Debt per Capita amount would be 17 million. Preliminary Forecast of Revenues and Expenditures for the County was computed using FY 99 budget as a base. Certain data items were held constant - for real

property a separate forecast was done and personal property was held constant. Grant revenues were held constant. Water and sewer fund was excluded since it is an enterprise operation. The Preliminary Forecast does not include debt service beyond the first year of the period. Revenues are forecasted to increase from \$21.5 million to \$24.5 million dollars and Expenditures from \$21 million to \$23.2 million dollars. There is an indication that revenues will exceed expenditures. The separate forecast for real estate tax base is an assumption based upon input received from the Board and Administrator's Office. They forecasted an increase in FY2000 of .03 cents in the tax rate and held that rate throughout the forecast period. This rate was used to compute the adjusted revenue figure. The real estate tax base grows from \$675,000,000 to approximately \$864,000,000. A .03 cents increase is forecast for FY2000. Mr. Burrell clarified the actual dollars of tax being paid will be more because of the reassessment. All the adjustments made to the Preliminary Forecast were recomputed with the impact from real property tax revenue, net revenue from the Kentlands project, Colonial Downs, establishing two new departments, and losses in grants (law enforcement operations). Discussion followed among the Board members on the options the Board will face when the grants run out. The result in revenues and expenditures after the preliminary forecast was adjusted for the items. Revenues exceed expenditures by year five (2004) approximately \$27 million in revenue verses \$24.7 million dollars expenditures. There is room to support some type of capital program. This is reflective of an .85 cents tax rate throughout the period. The real estate tax rate is there to fund an operating shortfall. This does not reflect any debt service or capital outlay for new capital projects and they have built into the forecast the Board's adopted policy of maintaining at least a 15 percent operating expenditures as a fund balance. The .85 cents tax rate is sufficient to fund operations, new programs, forecast debt service of capital projects, new capital projects, school projects, cash outlay for other capital projects throughout the forecast period. Without the demands of the fiscal policy and capital program the .85 cents tax rate would remain until the reassessment, (based upon a 10 percent reassessment), the equalized tax rate would drop down to a .75 cents tax rate and remain throughout the forecast period. In order to support the operating programs, movement of revenue and expenditures, capital program, new debt service, it will take .10 cents between what it might have been were we not to do these things and what we can expect to pay, fund the fiscal policies, and handle the financial affairs of the County in a responsible way. Some things could occur that would allow the .85 cents to be lowered in a couple years - tax base increase, beneficial and structure package. The debt service on the \$17,330,000 is around .14 cents. Mr. Emerson explained the difference in the resolutions and said that as the bonds are issued they will be issued specifically for a project, which would not allow the co-mingling of the funds. The funds will be maintained by County staff and the School Board will forward their expenditure bills for the construction costs and they will be run through the County's books. The Board discussed the resolutions. The Board was in consensus that it should be involved in the design and construction of the school projects should the referendum be passed by the voters and they (School Board) should be made to stay within their budget. Mr. Bahr thought the voters should be given as much choice as possible in voting for the referendum. Mr. Lipscomb asked if the dollar amount could be put after each project (on the resolution). Mr. Emerson said it would be non-binding as its only an estimate for each project. The first resolution, where each debt is voted on separately, is binding on each item. In both resolutions there is flexibility to go back to bond counsel (if there is money left over) and have the language changed on the bond documents to spend some of that money on a different project. Mr. Burrell felt the public would know the amounts of each project through extensive information provided by the schools. Mr. Hennaman said he would rather see the resolution worded as four separate questions, but his constituency is in favor of one question. Mr. Bahr made a motion to adopt Resolution R-18-98 as presented.

James H. Burrell	Nay
Mark A. Hennaman	Nay
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Rebecca M. Ringley	Nay
Julian T. Lipscomb	Nay

Ms. Ringley made a motion to adopt Resolution R-19-98 as presented.

James H. Burrell	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Rebecca M. Ringley	Aye
Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye

FOR RESOLUTION R-19-98 AS ADOPTED, SEE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ORDER BOOK, APPENDIX SIX, PAGE 147.

Mr. Hennaman said that by voting to send this matter to referendum, the Board understands the impact the decision of the voters will have. Mr. Burrell was concerned about the growth in the County. He felt that if approved and built, and the economy remained good, then people would move into the County and then another school will have to be built. Since we cannot prevent growth, we need to look at ways to keep growth from exploding. He offered a motion that the Planning staff and the Planning Commission do an in depth study on ways to curb explosive growth and that all zoning ordinances be reviewed to see if there's anyway they can enact other restrictions to control growth. Mr. Emerson said the plan is set up for the Planning Commission to move through the phases of study to control growth, they will complete the Western Area Management Plan, then review the County's Comprehensive Plan, and then revise the subdivision and zoning ordinances. Staff can provide a schedule and time line for this, and if the Board wants to speed up the time line, then in next year's budget there will have to be money to bring in another consultant rather than trying to do some of these things in house, which they had planned to do. Mr. Burrell said he wasn't trying to speed up the process, he wanted to make sure nothing was overlooked. He felt it was better to be proactive than reactive and he was not implying staff was not doing their job, he just wanted some additional studies. Ms. Ringley said she would like to control and manage growth also, but rather than add another process or level of steps to what they are already doing, she would like them to take a more careful look at the Western End Management Plan, for example, to make sure it encompasses the growth control and plans. Also, they have to revisit the Comprehensive Plan, etc. She thought the tools were there, the process is in place, and the current plans can take care of the issue Mr. Burrell is addressing. Mr. Burrell felt it wouldn't be that much more work and the County could use all the tools they can get. Ms. Ringley said perhaps she and Mr. Burrell could come to a consensus in the way they look at it - as in addition to what they are already doing as opposed to being a separate project. Mr. Burrell concurred. Mr. Burrell restated his motion: He moved that staff and the Planning Commission look at additional ways of curbing the explosive growth, which can include looking beyond the State of Virginia.

James H. Burrell	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Rebecca M. Ringley	Aye
Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS - The Board will continue to make appointments to various committees.

District One did not have any appointments.

District Two did not have any appointments.

District Three did not have any appointments.

Mr. Hennaman made a motion to appoint Mr. Gene Adkins as District Four's representative to the Board of Social Services for a four year term ending June 30, 2000.

Mr. Bahr made a motion to appoint Ms. Kristie Ridley as District Five's representative to the New Kent Clean County Committee for the remainder of a four year term ending December 31, 1999.

James H. Burrell	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Rebecca M. Ringley	Aye
Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye

Mr. Burrell made a motion to appoint Mr. Frederick G. Bahr as the Board of Supervisor's representative to the Area Agency on Aging Board of Directors for a three year term ending June 30, 2001.

Mr. Hennaman made a motion to appoint Ms. Rebecca M. Ringley as the Board of Supervisor's representative to the Local Disability Services Board for a one year term ending December 31, 1998.

James H. Burrell	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Rebecca M. Ringley	Abstain
Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye

IN RE: MEETING SCHEDULE

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors will be held on Monday, September 14, 1998 at 6:00 p.m. The Planning Commission will meet on Monday, August 17, 1998 at 7:00 p.m.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Hennaman made a motion to adjourn.

James H. Burrell	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Rebecca M. Ringley	Aye
Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye

The Board adjourned at 8:39 p.m.