

Mr. Burrell gave the invocation followed by the Pledge of Allegiance. Mr. Ringley welcomed everyone to the meeting and reminded them the Board Meeting will be shown on Cablevision channel 24 on Wednesday at 7:00 p.m.

IN RE: CONSENT AGENDA

Mr. R. J. Emerson, County Administrator explained what was included in the Consent Agenda.

1. Approval of Minutes
2. Approval of Supplemental Appropriations
 - a. Commissioner of Revenue - funds for computer - \$ 3,000 State reimbursed 33 1/3%.
 - b. Capital Improvements Revenue
 - sewage treatment plant/tower - \$ 246,789
 - (funds received from Henrico County \$246,789)
3. Approval of Refund
 - a. William R. Bierwirth, II - \$110.25 leased vehicle
4. Approval of Finance Report

Mr. Ringley reminded the Board they could pull anything out of the Consent Agenda if they wanted to vote on it separately. Mr. Hennaman made a correction to the minutes to reflect that because of technical difficulties the Board Meeting was not shown on Cablevision. Mr. Lipscomb moved to approve the Consent Agenda as presented with the one correction.

Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye
James H. Burrell	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
E. David Ringley	Aye

Motion passed.

IN RE: TREASURER'S REPORT

Mr. Emerson gave the report for the month of January as Ms. Burrell was away on training. Mr. Burrell moved to approve the Treasurer's Report for the month of January and to authorize the Treasurer to continue to invest in legal and risk adjusted, highest yielding instruments.

Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye
James H. Burrell	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
E. David Ringley	Aye

Motion passed.

Mr. Emerson also gave the Treasurer's report for the month of February.

Mr. Hennaman moved to approve the Treasurer's Report for the month of February and to authorize the Treasurer to continue to invest in legal and risk adjusted, highest yielding instruments.

Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye
James H. Burrell	Aye

Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
E. David Ringley	Aye

Motion passed.

IN RE: CITIZEN'S COMMENT PERIOD

Mrs. Brenda Snyder explained to the Board she had called the County office and asked for a listing of the County officials. She was informed she would have to do a letter under the Freedom of Information Act and the cost of this would be \$7.00. She then called some of the surrounding counties. Henrico County sent her their list which is published in the local paper with their annual report, James City sent their list and the cost was nothing, the Town of West Point sent their list and the cost was nothing. Even though she was not a citizen of the above counties or town she did not have to send an FOI letter nor pay for this information. Also in conversations with these three counties she inquired about the costs for copying of information to the citizens under the Freedom of Information Act. All said they do not charge for documents they have copies of, nor do they charge for documents a few pages long. West Point charges \$.15 a copy, Henrico \$.10 a copy and James City uses our rate of \$.25. She stated she did not mind paying a reasonable price for documents she requests under the Freedom of Information Act however, she does pay taxes in New Kent County and she believes any citizen should be given a list of County officials free upon request or the Board should publish the list along with the annual report.

Ms. Gloria Geiger spoke to the Board on a small business. Does the County wish to keep small business here and if so how will the regulations be handled? Do you deal with them relative to their compliance with County regulations or is it on a complaint basis? If you are going on the regulations how did you notify the small businesses in 1987 of the resolution that was passed and has direct bearing on their operation? If they were not officially notified at that time, could you not now do so. This would state all regulations they must abide by and ensuring them that they will be issued all permits to do so and giving them a reasonable timetable to comply. If you were going on the complaint basis then you must act only on valid complaints. This is a rural County and this is rural America.

Mr. Tom Brown spoke to the Board about the road conditions on Ashland Farm Road. He read a letter dated December 23, 1991 he had submitted to the Board of Supervisors in reference to his road. Mr. Brown showed the Board some pictures of the road and made some further comments on the problems that exist. Mr. Brown also mentioned he had asked for a copy of the County officials and was told it would be \$7.00. Mr. Burrell moved to send free copies of the Directory of Officials for anyone who asks.

Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye
James H. Burrell	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
E. David Ringley	Aye

Motion passed.

Mr. Burrell also suggested they lower the charge for the Freedom of Information paperwork. Mr. Ringley interjected he would like to discuss this in a work session. He stated there have been over 50 requests for Freedom of Information but mostly these have come from two individuals. He was not interested in reducing this charge down to nothing and having the secretaries work for two individuals in this County. One individual had asked for a copy of the minutes of the IDA from the time it started. This consisted of

over 400 pages and a lot of time on the part of the secretary to do this. Mr. Burrell said he wasn't suggesting to reduce it to nothing but make it comparable with the surrounding counties.

Ms. Barbara Hale stated she had asked some questions at the last meeting and Mr. Ringley had directed Mr. Cornwell to answer those questions and as yet she has not received anything. She also stated she was the one who requested the minutes from the IDA and she was also charged \$190.00 for these copies. She further stated any meeting or any corporation has to keep its records in one place so these 431 pages should have been readily available, it shouldn't have taken anybody eight hours to research this information. Ms. Hale added that the previous year they paid staff thousands of dollars in overtime in order to organize the records. If this was done, why weren't these organized? Mr. Ringley handed Ms. Hale a letter from Mr. Cornwell with the information on the bonds she had inquired about at the February meeting.

IN RE: ELECTED OFFICIAL'S REPORT

Mr. John Crump, Commissioner of Revenue stated as of today they have issued 569 business licenses for New Kent and collected \$282,894 in fees. He continued by saying the General Assembly debated the BPOL or gross receipt tax, this past session and some people saw some changes related to that. This change did not affect anyone in New Kent County because you must have a population greater than 25,000 people. Mr. Crump also stated, if a business hasn't filed, he would hope they would because penalty and interest will be accrued and he will be enforcing the ordinance. Mr. Bahr inquired of Mr. Crump if he knew how many of the 569 were new for this year. Mr. Crump replied, he did not know off hand.

Sheriff Howard made a report on the closing of the Coleman Bridge. He has met with the Virginia State Police over the last several months to try and reduce the impact with the closing of the bridge. He also met with Larry Gallaher, Director of Public Safety to discuss their strategies for emergency response in that area. Sheriff Howard gave a brief outline of what they have planned in order to handle this problem. They will be using off duty deputies for the most part. Mr. Ringley inquired how they were going to pay for the overtime. Sheriff Howard replied, he would use what money he has now and if he ran over he would have to come to the Board. He also met with the school officials and they will try and consolidate some of the bus stops, they will have a marked car behind the school bus and help them in and out of this congested area. He will keep the Board informed of any new developments. Mr. Bahr asked if the traffic light that will be on Route 273 & Route 33 will be permanent? Sheriff Howard replied he had no indication at this time and maybe Mr. Riley from VDOT could answer that question.

Sheriff Howard also reported on an award the Sheriff's Department won. They received a \$5,000 grant from DMV and they specifically targeted seat belt violations, and people not complying with child safety seats. They entered the Chief's Challenge Award Program and New Kent was chosen as the first prize winner in the category of the correct use of seat belts and child safety seats. He stated Mr. Burrell has been very interested in this area and has kept up with what the department has been doing.

Mr. Hennaman stated he was happy to report the VDOT handled those complaints he spoke of in February and thanked them for doing it so quickly. He also stated at the VACo Meeting and the Chairman's Institute an informal poll was taken and the general consensus was counties do charge for Freedom of Information requests and the average was \$.20 to \$.25 and some of the more rural areas charge \$.50 to \$.75. If you went to the post office or library to make a copy those rates are generally \$.25 and he feels that our rate is reasonable.

Mr. Lipscomb asked Mr. Chris Winstead from VDOT if the problem on Route 611 has been corrected.

Mr. Winstead replied he planned on addressing that when he gave his report. Mr. Lipscomb also said there has been concern about square footage of buildings in A1 and R1 zoning other than a residence. He asked staff to make a report and this be submitted to the Planning Commission. Mr. Lipscomb said he also had some questions on the BPOL tax. He wanted to know if you could collect bad checks off of this tax. Mr. Ringley suggested Mr. Emerson speak with Mr. Crump, Commissioner of Revenue and see if this is possible.

IN RE: STAFF REPORTS

Mr. J. Lawrence Gallaher, Director of Public Safety reported on the Route 634 Transfer Station. The plans have been redone and resubmitted. There are a few more changes to be made and by next week it should go out for bid. He briefly spoke about the Coleman Bridge closing and assured the Board he has been involved with the Sheriff's Department, VDOT, Chief Tate and various other people to plan for this closing. Mr. Gallaher gave each Board member a copy of the Richmond Area Regional Hazardous Materials Commodity Flow Study. In short, this was a survey done over a one and half year period to determine what kind of hazardous materials were travelling on the highways going through New Kent and other counties. This now gives them a better tool to work with when dealing with any hazardous spills. Mr. William Johnson, Accounting & Budge Officer gave a report on the revenues for the Off Track Betting parlor. The first OTB parlor opened in Chesapeake on February 17, 1996. Since that date up through March 7th, the total take was \$2,529,594. New Kent's share of this was \$10,195. The average daily take was \$126,460, with New Kent's average daily take of \$510.00.

IN RE: RESIDENT ENGINEER'S REPORT

Mr. Chris Winstead from VDOT gave an update to the Board on some of the questions raised last month. On Route 611 they have made temporary repairs to those areas that have broken up and they plan to make permanent repair patches later this month. During the summer they plan on surface treating the entire road that they have had problems with. On Route 647 they found a concrete pipe had failed and they were able to replace that last week, however, they still have a diligent beaver who is causing more problems. The high water problem on Route 60 has been a concern. Mr. Godfrey has set up a meeting with the railroad to look at some of the pipes that run under the tracks. They also discussed the possibility of some delineators at the I-64 Bottoms Bridge interchange. When they reviewed the crossovers they felt it might be a better idea to redo the geometrics so they better reflect the traffic patterns. The brush has been cut on Route 628 on the hard surface road and they plan to return to Route 628 to complete this project. Mr. Winstead then addressed the Coleman Bridge detour. A traffic control plan has been drawn up and this will be presented to the Board at the April 9th meeting.

There will be a public information meeting on March 26th from 4:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m. at the West Point High School to explain the bridge project and go over the detour plans. In answer to the question about the stop light at Route 273 and Route 33, if it will be permanent, it is Mr. Riley's intent that it will be permanent, but at this point they cannot make that promise. Mr. Burrell asked if a tree crew could be sent to I-64 east on the left side of the road at Bottoms Bridge. The snow has brought some trees down. Mr. Winstead replied, I-64 has been a priority for them and he will pass this information along. Mr. Ringley also asked they look into Route 1208 at junction 665, as a large pine tree is down. Mr. Lipscomb said at Route 606 & 612 the ditch needs to be fixed since the removal of the dumpster. Mr. Bahr inquired about Ashland Farm Road, is it on the list to be paved? Mr. Winstead replied, yes it is on the Six Year Plan.

IN RE: PRESENTATION OF APPRECIATION RESOLUTIONS

Mr. Ringley asked Mrs. Mary Harris, daughter of the late Charles "Chuck" Yeatts, former Commissioner of Revenue, to come forward. Mr. Ringley then read the resolution being presented to Mrs. Harris.

Mr. Ringley asked Mr. Robert Boroughs to come forward. Mr. Ringley read the resolution being presented to Mr. Boroughs. Mr. Boroughs thanked the citizens and the Board of Supervisors for this recognition. His love of New Kent County will continue and he will continue to work for the best of the County.

Mr. Ringley asked Mr. Marvin D. Bradby to come forward. Mr. Ringley read the resolution being presented to Mr. Bradby. Mr. Bradby thanked the Board of Supervisors and reminded them there will be difficult decisions to be made in the future. He enjoyed the opportunity to serve here and also thanked the citizens for their support.

Mr. Ringley then asked Mr. Michael D. Salmon to come forward. Mr. Ringley read the resolution being presented to Mr. Salmon. Mr. Salmon thanked the Board for the resolution. He enjoyed his time on the Board of Supervisors and thanked those citizens in District One who had elected him.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - CUP-21-95 PCS PRIMECO

Mr. Ringley announced he received a letter from the attorney representing PCS Primeco which he read to the citizens. This letter stated because of some concern raised by pilots who use the airport, they have asked the public hearing be deferred until the Board's regular meeting in April. This additional time would enable them to address these issues. Mr. Ringley said this has already been advertised and the general consensus of the Board was to hear those comments from the citizens who had signed up to speak. Mr. Bahr added, he was one of the supervisors who had attended the meeting the pilots had, and he had personally contacted each one to inform them it was not necessary to come tonight as this matter had been deferred. Mr. Ringley then opened the public hearing for comments on CUP-21-95 PCS Primeco.

Mr. Tom Brown asked that his comments on Ashland Farm Road to be considered when they approve or disapprove this tower declaring Ashland Farm Road a hazard. He does not object to the tower but he felt they should consider given this road a higher priority in widening and paving because of the additional traffic it will have.

Mr. Eckhardt Schutz said he would speak at the next meeting.

Mr. Bahr asked the representative from PCS Primeco if they were going to make an effort to find another location for the tower or at least investigate the possibility. Ms. Julie Middleton with PCS Primeco addressed the Board. She stated they did take into account the opposition they heard from the pilots on Thursday, they had however, followed the letter of the law as such forth by the FAA. They have agreed to revisit the considerations that went into the site and they will hire experts to consider the comments and concerns the pilots have made. Mr. Bahr commented that PCS Primeco has been very understanding and cooperative and they are trying to work with the County as best they can. The only problem is a significant group of people, the pilots, were not given notice and they objected that this went through the Planning Commission and was sent to the FAA for approval with a notation that there was no opposition. Mr. Bahr continued he understood there was a 30 days appeal to the FAA for special consideration. Ms. Middleton replied, Primeco will continue to as reasonable as possible. This is a community where they are going to be doing business and it is important to have good working relationships with the community. She added, in defense of the County process, she believed that everyone that was required to be notified had been notified. It was not their intent to neglect anybody and they were unaware that this was a problem. Mr. Ringley then closed this portion of the public hearing. This will be readvertised and will be heard at the April 9th Board of Supervisors Meeting.

IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING - PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT FOR THE ROUTE 155/PROVIDENCE FORGE AREA.

Mr. David Maloney, Director of Planning introduced Mr. Rick Carr, Senior Project Manager of Greenhorne & O'Mara who presented an overview to the Board of the final draft of the Route 155/Providence Forge Area Management Plan. Mr. Carr stated the purpose of the study is to provide a framework for managing and guiding growth and development within the identified planning area. This plan has been presented to the Planning Commission, they held their public hearing in January and now are sending it with unanimous approval to the Board of Supervisors. The plan has been developed through a series of seven public workshops held during the summer and fall of 1995. The planning document will serve as a blueprint for growth utilizing the community goals and objectives over the next fifteen to thirty years. The planning elements include Land Use, Recreation and Open Space, Public Facilities and Transportation, policies, guidelines and plan implementation strategies. This planning document coupled with the County's land development ordinances will become the primary tools to evaluate and guide land development decisions that affect Providence Forge and surrounding study area. Two key areas he was going to touch on were Land Use and Transportation. Using graphics he indicated Colonial Downs, the Legends, a mixed use area, a Public Recreation Area which will be a district park of about 60 acres, with school facilities co-located, and Providence Forge linked to that park area. He delineated the study area for the Board and the public. The County needs a blueprint in place to use for reviewing rezoning requests coming in and to plan for the provision of public infrastructure. This plan proposes a strategy encouraging compact development, which is easier to serve with sewer and wastewater treatment facility plans for about 500,000 gallons per day. The Capital Improvement Investment Area sets out the area designated for more compact, intense residential development over the next fifteen year and thirty year plans. The short term anticipates approximately 2,000 residential units in this location which is an ideal situation. The last area is in Providence Forge which has been a key area as it relates to retail services, professional services, and basic businesses, which is expected to continue and possibly expand because of Colonial Downs and the development anticipated over the long term. An important fact is whether or not your public facilities and roads can meet a Land Use similar to what has been proposed. Currently the only major roads in place are State Route 155, which presently is a good, two-lane rural road, along with I-64, and Route 60, which is a four-lane divided road to the south. There are currently no roads to the east to serve the proposed land use. State Route 155 will have to be expanded to a four-lane road along with the additional improvements. This plan lays out the framework for the required improvements, and developers will be relied upon to construct them, or at least a portion of them. The plan will also be useful in negotiating with VDOT for road construction as it provides several options for consideration. What has been conveyed to the general public and the development community is New Kent County is not in the business to develop roads. People coming in with proposed rezonings and other types of development, will be expected to meet the requirements of the Land Use Plan and the Transportation Plan. They will have to provide or assist in providing for this infrastructure. Mr. Carr stated this was just a quick overview of the study and asked if anyone had any questions. Mr. Ringley thanked Mr. Carr for his presentation and stated hopefully New Kent, by using such a plan, will not make some of the mistakes other communities have made when they starting growing. Mr. Ringley opened up the meeting for public comment.

Mr. Robert Boroughs stated we needed this blueprint in place so when it comes time to rezone the property it will be there for the infrastructure. He asked the Board to look at the amount of acreage when it comes up of what they would be allowing Chesapeake. You need the commercial growth before you need the residential. One household will not educate the one child that is in that household. He stated we need the change in that area but urged the Board to take it slow.

Mr. Tom Brown wanted to know if consideration was given to a larger airport? The current airport will only handle small aircraft and felt now was the best time to look at having a larger airport. Mr. Carr replied, no the study did not look at placing a airport in or around this area. Mr. Ringley then closed the public hearing.

Mr. Bahr inquired if the 250,000 gallons per day wastewater will support this area. Mr. Maloney replied, this will be sufficient to handle the racetrack and the golf course, plus some initial development. It will not be sufficient to cover the entire area and these issues will be addressed as their planning applications are submitted. Mr. Bahr and Mr. Burrell asked a few questions in reference to the road structure which Mr. Carr responded to.

Mr. Hennaman thanked Mr. David Maloney and Mr. Rick Carr for getting this information out to the public. He said he did have a minor concern on the schools and keeping the schools centrally located. He understood this is a visionary plan and by adopting this plan he did not want to send a mixed message that they intend on placing schools in areas other than what has already been decided. Mr. Ringley added, he understood his concern, but with future growth of the magnitude they are looking at, it may be necessary to have schools in other locations.

Mr. Burrell said he had a question for the staff. If the Board approves this plan, does each part have to come up for zoning approval? Mr. Emerson responded, this is an overall plan and has nothing to do with the Planned Unit Development application that will come before the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors. It is to be used as a guide for future growth. Mr. Burrell asked if it would obligate them in any way if they were to deny some of the rezoning requests. Mr. Emerson, replied no. Mr. Burrell also stated, his concern is the impact the development would have and whether or not it would pay for itself. Mr. Lipscomb added, he hoped everybody involved and the citizens realize this is a big step for the County.

Mr. Burrell inquired if land has been set aside for affordable housing? Mr. Maloney responded, this plan does not directly address that issue, it is more location and density of housing. It does not get into the cost or size of housing. Mr. Carr also added, this plan provides a guide to locate houses and businesses. Mr. Ringley thanked Mr. Carr and Mr. Maloney for the presentation and stated this will be used as a guide to develop the land in the future. Mr. Lipscomb moved to adopt the Route 155/Providence Forge Study Area management Plan as an amendment to the New Kent County Comprehensive Plan as recommended by the Planning Commission.

Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye
James H. Burrell	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
E. David Ringley	Aye

Motion passed.

IN RE: WEST POINT BRIDGE

Mr. Carlton addressed the Board on the study being conducted for an alternate route to cross the York River from Route 33. Virginia Department of Transportation had planned to do a study for a by-pass of traffic around Route 33 and 14th Street in West Point in the year 2000. Due to the closing of the Coleman Bridge in May of 1996 and the increase in the volume of traffic, the study was moved up five years. The actual study began in June of 1995. Through the study it was found that 15,000 cars travel through 14th Street on Route 33 per day. The Eltham Bridge opened 11,0733 times from August 1, 1994

through July 31, 1995. There were 246 openings between 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m. A train crossing survey was taken and there were 12 crossings at the railroad. A committee was formed to assist VDOT with their plans to improve the traffic flow through the town of West Point. The main idea was to get the traffic through town without having to stop for a bridge, railroad or traffic light. There have been five meetings of this committee and there have been four or five maps made each showing a different route for traffic to travel. These maps were turned over to VDOT. They have picked two routes they all agreed on and one route that may be considered. The impact on New Kent for two of these routings would be the same. If there is a northern by-pass route, this could cause a big change in Eltham and there was little support for this. This project will be seven to ten years before it will be in place. Mr. Ringley thanked Mr. Carlton for the update.

IN RE: COHOKE PIPELINE

Mr. David Morris, Planning & Programs Manager for the City of Newport News made a presentation to the Board on the status of the Regional Raw Water Supply Study. He reported that the study phase of work, to include the Environmental Impact Statement preparation, is nearly over and a decision on the federal and state permits needed to construct the King William Reservoir will occur soon. There is a need to complete a limited level of archaeological work on properties along the proposed pipeline route through New Kent County between Pamunkey River and Beaverdam Creek. This work is needed for completion of the Final Environmental Impact Statement. The work proposed includes only the pipeline route for the water delivered from the proposed King William Reservoir. There is no work proposed for the Black Creek basin or anywhere in the County. The work includes some limited soil disturbance periodically along the proposed pipeline route. Qualified archaeologists experienced in working in this region will be performing the work. This study would include approximately twenty-three properties they would need to access. They will make every effort to avoid the problems relating to gaining access to property of New Kent citizens as had been experienced in 1994. They will send a certified letter to each of the property owners explaining exactly how the archaeological research is carried out. This will include a general summary of the King William Reservoir project and a permission to enter upon property form. Most of the properties needed for access are large unoccupied wooded tracts. Each property owner will be given an opportunity to meet with Newport News officials and their project consultants one-on-one in order to answer any questions they may have concerning this study. Mr. Burrell asked some questions about the proposed route the pipeline will be taking of which Mr. Morris was able to provide and explanation. Mr. Ringley said he would like to see the letter going to the citizens before they are sent out and to please let them know we are not selling groundwater.

IN RE: VIRGINIA NATURAL GAS PIPELINE

Mr. Larry Price of Chesapeake Corporation spoke to the Board in reference to the West Point Lateral Pipeline Project. Virginia Natural Gas proposes to construct a gas transmission pipeline from the Providence Forge area across New Kent County to the Chesapeake Paper Products plant in West Point. They are making every attempt to keep this pipeline on Chesapeake property but there will be approximately 40 landowners in New Kent County that will need to be contacted. The surveying has been completed and now they will start the process of contacting the landowners and will follow the same process as described by Newport News previously. Mr. Ringley inquired if they were doing anything to try and run it up on Route 33 to help us with the Industrial Park development. Mr. Price replied, they are making every effort to look at possible routings to see if there is a better alternate route. Mr. Ringley stated this is an item that continually comes up when discussing the industrial area with potential developers is the availability of utilities. We now have water and sewer coming, the third item we need to draw a large industrial client is gas. Mr. Price replied, they have agreed to install two connections on the line to make future growth easier. He stated the problem with running natural gas in a large industrial area, there is a significant expense putting the pipeline in but they are willing to assist in bearing that cost

and to make it as easy as possible for future growth. Mr. Burrell said by looking at the map with the outline of the route for the pipeline, it seems a long way around. Mr. Price replied, they were trying to stay on Chesapeake land as much as possible. Mr. Burrell said he didn't think the citizens would object with the pipeline and he also understood they may be able to run a pipeline along VDOT's right-of-way which would make for a more direct route. He wanted to know if they had contacted any of the citizens to see how they felt about it. Mr. Price replied, no the purpose of meeting tonight was to let the Board know they will be contacting the property owners. Mr. Lipscomb inquired since it will be near Providence Forge, will there be a hook-in? Mr. Price replied one of the connections they have identified is about a mile from the Providence Forge area so they could add for future growth in that area. They looked at putting two tees into the line and two valve stations so that they could be used in the future. Mr. Lipscomb inquired once the line is in under the subdivisions, do you have any idea how long it will be before these people could hook on? Mr. Price responded, he is with Chesapeake and not Virginia Natural Gas that would be a question for them. Mr. Ringley asked a representative from Virginia Natural Gas to come forward. Mr. Ringley said Mr. Lipscomb was referring to when the pipeline came through and Fickensher, of Virginia Natural Gas came forward. He stated it is true they are working with the subdivision at The Woods at Five Lakes. The arrangement right now for that subdivision involves the installation of a propane facility to supply gas to that subdivision until such time as there is sufficient build out to justify running a line. The extension of the gas lines from the lateral pipeline are controlled by certain provisions in the terms of conditions that are approved by the State Corporation Commission. When a development takes place that would justify making those extensions, they have every intent to extend the gas lines. Mr. Ringley stated Virginia Natural Gas is serving subdivisions in Williamsburg now, whereas you are coming right past two subdivisions in New Kent that has over 600 homes. We are not asking you to serve a new development, we are asking why haven't you served two developments that are already here that was promised when the pipeline as run. Mr. Fickensher said he didn't know exactly what developments he was referring to. Mr. Ringley pointed out the developments on the map. Mr. Fickensher replied he didn't know if those developments made application for the extension of gas service to them but there is a procedure by which they determine if there is sufficient amount of interest, then they would make the calculations and if it is economically justified, they would be more than happy to make that extension. He continued, with reference to Williamsburg, there has been distribution planned for many years, they are not served directly off the pipeline through New Kent County. Mr. Ringley thanked them for the information.

IN RE: CATEGORICAL APPROVAL OF SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR SCHOOL BOARD

Mr. Emerson reminded the Board that at the December 11th meeting, there was a public hearing held at which time they took into consideration the School Board's request for additional funds. The Board did approve \$408,000 at that time. When the budget was approved last year the Board did approve it categorically, therefore in order for these funds to be spent, they must be placed in a category and submitted for approval. Ms. Leigh Quick and Mr. Jim Lanham were present to answer any questions the Board may have. Ms. Quick thanked the Board for being their lifesavers with the appropriation of money last year. They have been carefully monitoring their expenditures and revenues and using tight controls on spending. Mr. Hennaman inquired, at the meeting in December, the prior Board approved the \$408,000. Since that time there have been articles in the newspaper that the deficit was not as large as was initially anticipated, if that is the case, then why are we still looking at the same amount of money as was appropriated? Mr. Lanham replied, from the accounting point of view when the request was made, their full understanding was the deficit was the amount appropriated. Subsequently they found the actual number to be lower. Mr. Burrell said he made the motion in December to appropriate the money, did you not need the \$408,000 to make ends meet? Mr. Lanham replied, by the end of this fiscal year they hope to send back to the Board approximately \$162,000. Mr. Burrell moved to approve the categorical appropriations as submitted.

Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye
James H. Burrell	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
E. David Ringley	Aye

Motion passed.

IN RE: NEW KENT COUNTY HISTORIC SOCIETY

Mr. John Crump made a presentation to the Board for the New Kent County Historic Society. There were several reasons he wanted to speak with the Board. The Historic Society has been trying to figure out a way for the Board to accomplish their goals of meeting growth associated with the County, as well as the Historic Society's goal of preserving some of the history, architecture of the buildings and the feeling in the courthouse area. They have been looking for ways to tell the story about New Kent County and the rich history that surrounds it and also sharing this information with the County. The Society would like to start telling stories about the events and people that shaped our county's development. They want to help preserve its history, make it more beautiful, promote its assets and help with its current development. The Historic Society is asking for the County to work with them as changes and modifications are made to the buildings so that when they are completed the historic architecture might be preserved. The second thing that they ask is for permission to demonstrate to the Board and residents of the County how they can begin to tell the story and communicate the history using the buildings that we do have. In this building they would like to take the foyers and the entrance space by using dead space, walls, entry ways and display cases to tell the story. This expense would be incurred by the Historic Society. Mr. Crump invited the Board and any interested citizen to attend a meeting of the Historic Society on Sunday, March 24, 1996 at 2:30 p.m. at Second Liberty Baptist Church to discuss the ways they can rejuvenate and present the county in a positive way. Mr. Ringley asked if the Society could supply information that could be submitted to the architect. He replied, they would be happy to work with the architect and he has a person who could provide sketches of what they envision. Mr. Ringley thanked him for the information.

IN RE: APPOINTMENTS

Mr. Lipscomb moved to appoint L. W. Throckmorton as District One's representative to the Agricultural & Forestal Districts Advisory Committee for an unexpired term ending December 31, 1998; he also moved to appoint W. O. Isgett as District One's representative to the Agricultural & Forestal Districts Advisory Committee for an unexpired term ending December 31, 1998; he moved to appoint Verna Grimm as District One's representative to the New Kent Clean County Committee for an unexpired term ending December 31, 1999. Mr. Lipscomb moved to appoint Devvie Downs as District One's representative to the Historic Commission for the term ending December 31, 1998; he also moved to appoint Tim Tuck as District One's representative to the Recreation Commission for the term ending December 31, 1996; he moved to appoint George Tate as District One's representative to the Board of Road Viewers for the term ending December 31, 1996 and he also moved to appoint Phillip Felts as District One's representative to the Airport Advisory Commission for the term ending December 31, 1996. Mr. Lipscomb moved to appoint L. W. Throckmorton as District One's representative to the Board of Equalization. Mr. Ringley asked to vote on these before they move on to the next district.

Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye
James H. Burrell	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye

Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
E. David Ringley	Aye

Motion passed.

Mr. Burrell moved to reappoint Marian Saunders as District Three's representative to the Historic Commission for the term ending December 31, 1999 and he also moved to reappoint James Moody as District Three's representative to the Board of Road Viewers for the term ending December 31, 1996; he moved to also reappoint Jean Street as District Three's representative to the Wetlands Board for the term ending December 31, 1999. Mr. Burrell moved to appoint Jeannie Gillman as District Three's representative to the New Kent Clean County Committee for the term ending December 31, 1999 and he also moved to appoint Brenda Snyder as District Three's representative to the Airport Advisory Commission for the term ending December 31, 1996.

Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye
James H. Burrell	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
E. David Ringley	Aye

Motion passed.

Mr. Hennaman moved to reappoint Rev. Floyd C. Jefferson as District Four's representative to the Transportation Safety Commission for the term ending December 31, 1999 and he also moved to appoint Jack Sandford as District Four's representative to the Board of Equalization.

Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye
James H. Burrell	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
E. David Ringley	Aye

Motion passed.

Mr. Bahr moved to appoint James Brabrand as District Five's representative to the New Kent Clean County Committee for an unexpired term ending December 31, 1996.

Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye
James H. Burrell	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
E. David Ringley	Aye

Motion passed.

Mr. Burrell moved to appoint Bernard Randolph as New Kent County's representative to the MPO's Citizens Transportation Advisory Committee for the term expiring December 31, 1998. Mr. Lipscomb moved to appoint Gary Greene as New Kent County's representative to the MPO's Citizens Transportation Advisory Committee for the term expiring December 31, 1998. The rule of order is to vote on the second one first. Mr. Bahr stated he did not know either gentlemen and asked if they could give some background on each. Mr. Burrell said Mr. Randolph is here and he would highly recommend

him. Mr. Lipscomb said Mr. Greene has lived in the County for the last ten years and works out of Richmond. Mr. Burrell stated Mr. Randolph has been here all his life. Mr. Hennaman asked for some further information because he also did not know either gentlemen and would like to know what their qualifications were to serve on this committee. Mr. Burrell said Mr. Randolph is someone he has known all his life, he is very interested in the County, he is retired which will allow him the time to serve and he brings dedication to what ever he does. Mr. Lipscomb said Gary Greene has lived here for 10 years. He owns a small farm in the County and he works in advertising in Richmond. He knows New Kent very well and he handles himself very well and is use to dealing with large number of people. Mr. Ringley called for the vote of Mr. Gary Greene.

Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye
James H. Burrell	No
Frederick G. Bahr	No
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
E. David Ringley	Aye

Motion passed.

Mr. Burrell moved to appoint Norman Anderson as the County of New Kent's representative to the Local Disability Services Board for the term ending December 31, 1996.

Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye
James H. Burrell	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
E. David Ringley	Aye

Motion passed.

Mr. Ringley moved to reappoint William Wallace as New Kent County's representative to the Hanover Health Advisory Board for the term ending December 31, 1997.

Julian T. Lipscomb	Aye
James H. Burrell	Aye
Frederick G. Bahr	Aye
Mark A. Hennaman	Aye
E. David Ringley	Aye

Motion passed.

IN RE: MEETING SCHEDULE

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors will be held on Tuesday, April 9, 1996 at 7:00 p.m. The Board will have a Budget Work Session on March 21, 1996 from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. or until completion of schedule. The Planning Commission will meet on Monday, March 18, 1996 at 7:30 p.m. The Board of Supervisors will have a Joint Meeting with the School Board on March 19, 1996 at 5:30 p.m. in the New Kent High School where the School Board will present their budget. The School Board will hold a public hearing on their budget on March 18, 1996.

Mr. Burrell asked the Board to consider doing something to recognize all those people who serve on the various committees and give of their time without any compensation. April is set aside as volunteer

month and maybe we can do something. Mr. Ringley responded, it was a wonderful idea and asked staff to look into this.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Ringley moved to adjourn until March 19, 1996 at 5:30 p.m. at the New Kent High School.
